

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,107	05/06/2004	Yong-Ho Yang	21C-0126	7269	
23413	7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			TON, MINH TOAN T		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/840,107	YANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Toan Ton	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		: :
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		\$ ;
·	-· action is non-final.	;
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	
ordered in adderdance with the practice and of E	A parte Quayle, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		<u>:</u>
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.		1
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	:
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		:
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		:
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	:
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		<u>.</u>
	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• • •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  </li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	have been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		
application from the International Bureau		: :
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d. :
	·	
		! :
		1
Attachment(s)		:
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, 33-37, drawn to a display device, classified in class 349, subclass114.
  - II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method of manufacturing a display device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed in Group I can be made by another and materially different process other than the claimed process as recited in Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

2. If Group I is elected above, a further election of the one of the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention is required:

(Ia) the specifics of the display device being comprised of particular and distinct details directing to an embodiment comprising claims 1-21;

(Ib)the specifics of the display device being comprised of particular and distinct details directing to an embodiment comprising claims 33-37.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/840,107

Art Unit: 2871

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 26, 2005

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER